

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

03/02/99

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 05/876 937 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 16955DIVCONC WOODWARD 06/16/97 ns/876,937 **EXAMINER** HM22/0302 <u>o sullivan, P</u> ROBERT J BARAN PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ALLERGAN INC 11 2525 DUPONT DRIVE 1621 IRVINE CA 92612-1599

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Responsive to communication filed on 13 Augustub 1998 This action is made final. This application has been examined A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ______ month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. OClaims are pending in the application. Of the above, claims ______ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims ______ 4. 2 Claims ______ 2 6 - 4 5 ______ are rejected. 5. Claims_____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.95 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. _. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). ____. has (have) been approved by the 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _ 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received not been received __ ; filed on ___ been filed in parent application, serial no. ____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other 1-11.01

> PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTCL-326 (Rev. 2/93)



Application/Control Number: 08/876,937

Art Unit: 1209

- 1. Claims 26-45 are pending in this application. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 135(b) is withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 26, 28-34 and 36-45 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are found non-persuasive. The group R1 is broader than that shown in the specification in each case as originally filed. Applicants' "pharmaceutically acceptable ester moiety" could contain an aryl group in the alcohol moiety which is not enabled in the specification. Other claims which depend from rejected generic claims, but do not further limit R1 to the scope originally in the specification are rejected as well.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937

Art Unit: 1209

- 5. Claims 26-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bishop '383. Applicants' arguments have been given due consideration, but are found non-persuasive. Contra applicants' arguments, the disclosure of '567 is seen to be narrower than the present claims as discussed above. Contra applicants' arguments at the middle of page three of their amendment, under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), consideration of the filing date of Bishop, not the date of issue, is made in the rejection. Applicants' declaration is not seen to render any present claim allowable.
- The following allowable claim is suggested for the purpose of an interference: -
 A method of treating glaucoma and ocular hypertension which comprises topically administering to the affected eye a therapeutically effective amount of heptenamide-5-cis-2(3- hydroxy-4-m-chlorophenoxy-1-trans-butenyl)-3,5 dihydroxy $[1_{\kappa}, 2_{\beta}, 3_{\lambda}, 5_{\kappa}]$ cyclopentane. --

The suggested claim must be copied exactly, although other claims may be proposed under 37 CFR 1.605(a).

Applicant should make the suggested claim within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer. Failure to do so will be considered a disclaimer of the subject matter of this claim under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.605(a). THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CFR 1.136(a) DO NOT APPLY TO THIS TIME PERIOD.

- 7. Applicants are further requested to make a declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.608(b) with to the above compound.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/876,937 Page 4

Art Unit: 1209

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter O'Sullivan at telephone number (703) 308-4526.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200